A Critique of Third Positionism and Indian Bronson

tonyodarg.wordpress.com/2020/09/25/a-critique-of-third-positionism-and-indian-bronson

Tony Odarg September 25, 2020

Recently, Richard Spencer did an entire thread on Twitter addressing his position on why he votes for Democrats. It is fair to say, I agree with most of it.

I think the working class is treated disgracefully in America, and that goes for all races. The deaths of despair, bankruptcies through medical bills, and more are all expressions of this—or, more precisely, they're symptoms of deeper problems. So, unlike people who could properly be termed "racist conservatives"—those who fear the very thought of a non-White somewhere getting another "handout"—I support a European-style administrative state. Period.

While I've long been open about my views—and I think it's worth elaborating on them further—I'm under no illusion that by simply talking about, say, UBI or national healthcare, I'm likely to win over the working class to "white nationalism" or whatever. I'm in no position to get the working class greater handouts from the government, nor am I in the position of a Bloomberg-style billionaire, where I could offer them sustenance out of my own coffers. But it's worth talking about these issues, regardless. I'm also under no illusion that if I simply talk about working-class issues, I'll cease being demonized. (Though it is true that talking about "socialism" does force more nuanced people in the media to give you a second thought.)

Some say I'm "LARPing" by discussing spiritual, cultural, or philosophic matters—as opposed to endlessly demanding working-class handouts. But the fact is, those anonymous "Nazbols" are in no position to actually deliver handouts either. They're LARPing harder than I ever have. If what you demand are immediate concessions for the working class—if that is your top issue—then I don't understand why you'd want to have anything to do identitarianism or White Nationalism. Just go vote for Democrats. (I say this as a Democratic voter.) Yes, the Democrats are part of the same corporate-financial system as the Republicans—they're part of the problem, too—but at least among Democrats, you can *broach* the issue of socialized medicine, whereas among Republicans, it's verboten.

In 2016, Donald Trump marked a fascinating turn among conservatives. He was "to the left" of Hillary Clinton on foreign policy issues and even many domestic issue—or at least he sounded that way at the time. But by the spring of 2017—after Trump had made his appointments, bombed Syria, and dismantled Obamacare without offering anything in its place—it was clear that he had been captured by mainstream Republicanism. I've heard many "national populists" talk about how, since the GOP is now the "White Working Man's Party," it must start adding issues like healthcare and UBI to its agenda. That's all fine and good. But I find that about as plausible as Trump finding a cure for baldness. The GOP will continue its "fake populism" of photographing its celebrities hunting, attending NASCAR rallies, and hanging out with regular folks in small-town diners—or Tucker declaring that we must "protect the workers!" All of these things are substitutes for actual policy.

The Left-Right dynamic is in place: The Left pursues socialism and identity politics and the Right yells stop at both. Again, Trump seemed like he might change this—but his failure demonstrates the long-term continuity of this polarity. (I'm not happy to report this.) Our cause—or perhaps I should merely say *my* cause—is not about "the workers," nor is it really about "immigration." It is about the future of mankind. This grandness (or perhaps absurd pomposity) frees me up, as it were, to engage in short term, tactical voting. The liberals are simply more competent. And with them, there is at least a plausible chance of needed relief for struggling people. Did I mention they're simply more competent?

> So the question I have for the “national populists” (who pride themselves as being pragmatic): If you actually care about the White working class—if you actually want to implement these programs, and not just talk about them—then why, exactly, are you not voting for Democrats? The answer is that most of these “national populists” cling to the GOP for warmth and applaud its victories like a man playing fantasy football.

To answer the question why not vote for Democrats, it is because Democrats govern like Republicans. Again, and I’ve said it before, the reason Richard is not making sense in his strategy, is because he is on the right critiquing the left. In order to understand the left’s move to the right, you have to come at it from a leftist position. So him saying the left would give us Medicare for All is simply untrue. They had their chance under Obama and could’ve nominated Bernie Sanders twice, and instead cheated him twice. I hate Republicans and will never vote for them EVER. But don’t tell hope lies in the corporate left because it simply does not.

Our hope is in giving White people a reason to join us. We need to give White people a holy crusade to embark on, to do more for them than simply offering them materialism without the flaws. White people are suffering not just financially but spiritually as well. The White race needs a holistic, religious revival. Without it, we will be eradicated.

Links

Thread: https://twitter.com/RichardBSpencer/status/1308946090234961920
Archive: https://archive.vn/8ydfp

Further: https://twitter.com/RichardBSpencer/status/1308806274822201344
Archive: https://archive.vn/AgRF9

Materialism: https://twitter.com/eurog3nic/status/1308548715192479744
Archive: https://archive.vn/L2RR8